

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/519,642	03/06/2000	Tongtong Wang	210121.478C4	8132
500	7590 09/15/2004		EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			BORIN, MICHAEL L	
701 FIFTH A' SUITE 6300	VE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	VA 98104-7092		1631	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/519,642	WANG ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Borin	1631
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ition. A proper reply to a n places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offifiled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		NOTE below.
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		rielly reducing or simplifying the
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☑ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)∭ will be entered and an w or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10. Other:		
	LiGn	Michael Borin, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding over-expression of the claimed protein are based on Declaration of Dr. Fang which is not executed. Further, there is no sufficient reason why the Declaration has not been furnished earlier.

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.B. PRIMARY EXAMINER